

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,752	02/20/2004	Yoshiharu Ajiki	118456 .	3690
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 . 12/20/2001 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			HODGE, ROBERT W	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/781,752	AJIKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert Hodge	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 No</u>	ovember 2007.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4,13 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

10/781,752 Art Unit: 1795

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/08/07 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 11/8/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 5-7 and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,158,510.

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 11/8/07, with respect to the rejection of claims 5-7 and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 5-7 and 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph has been withdrawn.

10/781,752 Art Unit: 1795

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 5, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,106,965 hereinafter Hirano in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,007,933 hereinafter Jones and U.S. Patent No. 3,158,510 hereinafter Gerhardt.

Hirano clearly teaches a method of manufacturing a fuel cell comprising forming gas flow paths on substrates, forming current collecting layers, forming reaction layers and forming an electrolyte film, wherein at least the electrocatalyst layer (i.e. reaction layer) is formed by a discharge device (i.e. sputtering and other methods) (column 5, line 1 – column 8, line 61).

Hirano does not teach a "nonmetallic" supporting member disposed in a gas flow path for supporting the current collecting layer.

Jones teaches a fuel cell assembly unit that provides a support member that abuts the flow field plate, said support member comprising a variety of configurations such as woven metal, perforated foil and/or a screen, which will inherently traverse the flow channels (i.e. disposed in a gas flow path because gas must flow through the support member to reach the gas diffusion member (i.e. current collecting layer)) thereby supporting the gas diffusion member (i.e. current collecting layer) and preventing it from being pressed into the flow channels which would in turn restrict the flow of reactant gases (abstract, column 9, lines 9-25). Jones further teaches that different parts of the fuel cell such as the gas diffusion layers can be made with porous

carbon material which is known for its conductive and resilient properties, see column 1, line 62 – column 2, line14, column 3, lines 5-10 and column 7, lines 6-20.

Gerhardt teaches a method for making a rigid porous carbon body suitable as a support or matrix in the formation of fuel cell electrodes (column 1, lines 11-14)

At time of the invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include a porous carbon support member between the flow path and the current collecting layer of Hirano as taught by Jones and Gerhardt in order to prevent the current collecting layer from being pressed into the flow channels which would in turn restrict the flow of the reactant gases, thus reducing the efficiency and productivity of the fuel cell performance.

Claims 6, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirano in view of Jones and Gerhardt.

Hirano as modified by Jones and Gerhardt discloses the claimed invention except for the specific order of the steps of how the layers are applied to one another. In general, the transposition of process steps or the splitting of one step into two, where the process are substantially identical or equivalent in terms of function, manner and result, was held to be not patentably distinguish the processes. Ex parte Rubin 128 USPQ 159 (PO BdPatApp 1959).

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number:

10/781,752 Art Unit: 1795

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 5, 9 and 11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/791,719. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application fully encompass the scope of copending Application No. 10/791,719, the only difference is claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/791,719 is further limited by the recitation of the discharge device dispenses liquid droplets.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 5, 9 and 11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of copending Application No. 10/791,722. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

10/781,752

Art Unit: 1795

not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application fully encompass the scope of claim 2 of copending Application No. 10/791,722.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**RWH** 

JONATHAN CREPEAU PRIMARY EXAMINER